

BEFORE THE FORUM
FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
IN SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED TIRUPATI

On this the 30th day of May' 2023
Inward No.5642/2022-23/Kurnool Circle

Present

Sri. K. Ramamohan Rao

**Chairperson (I/c) and
Member (Finance)**

Sri. S.L. Anjani Kumar

Member (Technical)

Smt. G. Eswaramma

Independent Member

Sri Maram Srinivasulu & Maram Aswartha Narayana Complainant
C/o. MaramLakshmiNarayana Cotton Industry
#28/5, H.K.C. Road,
Noonepalli,
Nandyal,
Kunool Dt.

ORDER

1. The Managing Partners 1) Maram Srinivasulu & 2) Maram Aswartha Narayana of M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry submitted a complaint to this forum stating that they are having service vide SC No.8431205008379 of 74HP load and they are utilizing supply to M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry. Beside to their factory there is M/s. Omkamma Pressing Factory and they are utilizing supply from SC No.8431205056927, the entrance, compound wall, GST and Factory license are separate and the owners are also different. But the department inspected and clubbed said both the services during October'2021 without issuing any notice and issued HT bill from November'2021 to June'2022 to their service vide SC No.8431205008379 and shown arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- and also issued LT bill to another service SC No.8431205056927. A pipe provided in between both the factories is also removed. The department issued LT bills from July'2022 onwards, but not withdrawn the HT billed arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- to the SC No.8431205008379.They further stated that, they paid Rs.2,00,000/-during 10/2022 and Rs.40,000/- during 12/2022 against the arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- to avoid disconnection of their service. The department again showing arrear amount of Rs.2,02,063/-against their said service. They are not running the mill since 2 years. They are consuming about 300 to

DESPATCHED
31/5

350 units, they never exceeded 74HP load. Hence they requested the forum to refund the amount of Rs.2,40,000/-already paid and to withdraw the outstanding arrear amount of Rs.2,02,063/- against the service SC No.8431205008379.

2. The case was kept under maintainability and sent to respondents for written submissions.
3. The EE/O/Nandyal has submitted written submission stating that, a complaint filed by Sri.Maram Srinivasulu, Noonepalli Village, Nandyal before the Chairperson, Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum (CGRF) regarding bill revision and refund of amount which is already paid by him for the SC No.8431205008379.

The EE/O/Nandyal called a detailed report from the Deputy Executive Engineer/ Operation Sub-Division/Nandyal Town. The Deputy Executive Engineer/Operation Sub-Division, Nandyal Town submitted his report to the EE/O/Nandyal which is as follows:-

1. "On 20-10-2021 the Executive Engineer/ DPE/ Kurnool inspected the 2 No's services (1) SC No. 8431205008379, LT Cat-III, CL;74HP (2) SC No.8431205056927, LT Cat-III, CL: 74HP and found that the two (2) services are existing in the same premises with common entrance for both the services hence addressed a letter to Dy.EE/O/Nandyal to club both the services as per Clause .3.5 of GTCS issued by Hon'ble APERC and directed to arrange to issue single bill under HT Cat-III(A) tariff with the load of 112HP + 600 Watts to avoid loss of revenue to the department
2. As per the report of the Executive Engineer/DPE/Kurnool, the Asst. Engineer/ Distribution-II Section/Nandyal, addressed a letter to Asst. Accounts Officer/ERO, Nandyal to club both the services and to issue single bill under HT Cat-III (A) to the said services.
3. Based on the Asst. Engineer/D-II/Nandyal report, the Asst. Accounts Officer/ERO/ Nandyal issued manual HT bill by clubbing the 2 No's services of LT service No. 8431205008379 & 8431205056927 from the month of Nov-2021.
4. The consumer of M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry represented a letter stating that both the service owners are different, having separate entrance gates for

both services and hence requested to re-inspect the services and to issue the bills separately under LT only.

5. The Executive Engineer/Operation/Nandyal has issued an approval after conducting joint inspection along with Executive Engineer/DPE/Kurnool on 29-06-2022 and found that both the services have separate entrances, compound walls and pipe between two factories is dismantled. The nature of the premises also different for both the services. EE/O/Nandyal has accorded approval for waive off clubbing of both services i.e. (1) 8431205008379 – M/s Veeranjanya Cotton Ginning & (2) SC No. 8431205056927 – M/s Omkamma pressing factory and for withdrawal of manual billing HT charges and issued permission to bill the said services under LT billing only because the said services connected load is 74HP only for each service. Hence stated that there is no need to club both the services.
6. Further, the Asst. Accounts Officer/ERO/Nandyal has submitted a letter to the Superintending Engineer/ Operation/ Kurnool for withdrawal of excess demand raised due to clubbing of services for HT billing to SC No.8431205008379 from the month of Nov'2021 to June'2022 for an amount of Rs.4,48,125/-.
7. The Superintending Engineer/Operation/Kurnool returned the withdrawal proposal stating that “at the time of inspection, removed pipe between two factories and two entrances available. But the shutter between two factories is not permanently welded. The Executive Engineer/Operation/Nandyal has informed that shutter was permanently welded on 30.06.2022. So revision of the bill from HT to LT may be adopted from 30.06.2022”
8. Hence the consumer M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry again represented to the EE/O/Nandyal to revise the HT bill issued for the said service from 11/2021 and requested to bill under LT only.
9. The Executive Engineer/ Operation/Nandyal has issued an approval for withdrawal of manual billed HT charges and permitted to issue the bills under LT billing only as the services connected load is 74HP only for each service based on consumption history of both the services, as both service consumers utilising the load within contracted

load from the past 2 years and consumer permanently welded shutter between two factories after receipt of 1st HT bill to SC No.8431205008379.

10. The Asst. Accounts Officer, ERO, Nandyal also addressed a letter to the Superintending Engineer/Operation/Kurnool for withdrawal of excess demand raised by clubbing of services for HT billing to SC No.8431205008379 from the month of Nov'2021 to June'2022 for an amount of Rs.4,48,125/-.
11. The Superintending Engineer/Operation/Kurnool returned the withdrawal proposal for SC No.8431205008379. The Asst. Accounts Officer/ERO/Nandyal issued 7days' notice to consumer of SC No.8431205008379, M/s Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning Mill, Nandyal for payment of balance arrear amount Rs.2,02,063 as on 31.12.2022. Hence requested to close the case.
4. Personal hearing through video conferencing was conducted @ 11.30A.M. on 10.3.2023. EE/O/Nandyal, EE/DPE/Kurnool and one of the complainant Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana present. Heard both sides.

The complainant has stated that the DPE wing inspected the said two service premises and without serving notice, the department clubbed the said service numbers into one and issued HT bill to the SCNo.8431205008379. Hence requested to waive off clubbing of both services i.e. (1) 8431205008379 – M/s Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning & (2) SC No. 8431205056927 – M/s Omkamma pressing factory and to withdraw the manual billing HT charges and to bill the said services under LT billing only and also stated that, they are not running the mill since 2 years. They are consuming only about 300 to 350 units and they never exceeded 74HP load. Hence the complainant requested to refund the amount of Rs.2,40,000/-already paid towards HT billing for SC No.8431205008379 and to withdraw the outstanding arrears amount of Rs.2,02,063/- against the service SC No.8431205008379.

The EE/O/Nandyal has stated that the DPE wing inspected the said two service premises and clubbed the said service numbers into one, as the entrance to both the services are found common and a pipe connected in between two units and hence recommended to issue HT bill to the SC No.8431205008379. HT billing done from 11/2021 to 6/2022 for SC No.8431205008379. During 6/2022 as per the request of the complainant, the EE/O/Nandyal and EE/DPE/Kurnool again jointly inspected the said

two services and found that the entrance of the two units are separated, common door in between the two units closed i.e., Iron door welded permanently, the pipe provided in between the two units were dismantled. Hence the EE/DPE/Kurnool recommended to separate two services as the two units entrances also physically separated and pipe dismantled in between the two factories. Hence recommended to issue waive the clubbing of said two services and for withdrawal of manual HT billing issued to the service SC No.8431205008379 as the connected load is 74HP only.

5. Points for determination are:

1. Whether to refund the amount of Rs.2,40,000/-already paid and withdraw the outstanding arrear amount of Rs.2,02,063/- towards HT billing against the service SC No.8431205008379 or not ?
2. Whether the complaint is maintainable before this forum as present complainants are not the registered consumers?

Point No.1:

The EE/O/Nandyal has stated that as per the DPE wing report, the said services were clubbed into one and HT billing issued to SC No. 8431205008379 up to re-inspection of the service i.e., from November'2021 to June'2022. On 29.6.2022 joint inspection done by both DPE and operation wing and found that the entrances of two factories were different, removed the pipe between two factories, the shutter between the two factories were permanently welded on 30.6.2022. Hence the department recommended to waive the clubbing of said two services and to bill both the services under LT category only after the re-inspection i.e., from 6/2022.Hence requested to close the case.

One of the complainant, Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana has stated that the DPE wing inspected the said two services, they are having service SC No.8431205008379 of 74HP load and they are utilizing supply to M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry, beside to their factory there is M/s. Omkamma pressing factory and they are utilizing supply from SC No.8431205056927, the entrance, compound wall, GST, Factory license are separate, but the department inspected and clubbed both the said services during October'2021 without issuing any notice and issued HT bill from November'2021 to June'2022 to their service SC No.8431205008379 and shown arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- and also issued LT bill to another service SC No.8431205056927.A pipe provided in between both the factories is also removed, the department issued LT bills

from July'2022 onwards, but not withdrawn the HT billed arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- to the SC No.8431205008379, they further stated that, they paid Rs.2,00,000/- during 10/2022 and Rs.40,000/- during 12/2022 against the arrear amount of Rs.4,42,063/- to avoid disconnection of their service. The department again showing arrear amount of Rs.2,02,063/- against their said service, they are not running the mill since 2years.They are consuming about 300 to 350 units, they never exceeded 74HP load. Hence requested to refund the amount of Rs.2,00,000/-, Rs.40,000/-already paid and to withdraw the outstanding arrear amount of Rs.2,02,063/- against the service SC No.8431205008379.

The EE/DPE/Kurnool has stated that at the time of inspection on 20.10.2021 as per the field position they recommended to club the said services into one service as per Clause 3.5 of GTCS and also recommended to issue HT billing. Further re-inspection conducted along with operation wing and found that the iron pipe in between the two factories was removed and entrance of the two units were separated, shutters between two units were permanently welded. Hence recommended to separate the two services and to issue LT billing only for the said two services. Hence requested to close the case.

This forum directed the EE/DPE/Kurnool to submit the Inspection notes for the said services to take up the case.

The EE/DPE/Kurnool has submitted the inspection notes for both the services to this forum on 14.3.2023 as called for by this forum.

This forum noticed that the service number (1) SC No. 8431205008379, LT Cat-III, CL;74HP (2) SC No.8431205056927,LT Cat-III, CL:74HP was inspected by EE/DPE/Kurnool on 20.10.2021 for both the services. The person present at the time of inspection Mr.K.Sekhar signed on the inspection notes. The EE/DPE/Kurnool recorded connected load for SC No. 8431205008379 as 66HP+400Watts and recorded connected load for SC No. 8431205056927 as 46HP +200Watts. As seen from the inspection notes, it is noticed that the consumer representative present at the time of inspection and known about the inspection conducted by the DPE wing. It is also observed that, at the time of first inspection the clerk named Mr.K.Sekhar signed for both the factories as a consumer representative. Hence this forum observed that both the factories are running with same employees. Hence this forum is of the opinion that the activities of both the factories are performing linked activity i.e. the output of one factory is the raw material/input for another factory and there is a link between the two activities but not independent. During

first inspection respondents observed that, the entrance of both the factories are common, a pipe connected between both the factories. Hence the respondents recommended to club both the services into one and recommended to issue HT billing.

As per the **Clause 3.5.3 of GTCS**

“3.5 Definition of Separate Establishment:

3.5.1 For the purpose of the GTCS, separate establishments shall include the following types of establishments:

- i. Having distinct set-up and staff;*
- ii. Owned or leased by different persons;*
- iii. Covered by different licenses or registrations under any law where such Procedures are applicable; and*
- iv. For domestic category, the households having a separate kitchen.*

3.5.2 Each separate establishment will be given a separate point of supply.

3.5.3 Notwithstanding the above provisions, the Company reserves the right, where it is reasonably established, that the consumers of the same group or family or firm or company who are availing supply under different service connections situated within a single premises by splitting the units, the Company may treat such multiple connections existing in the single premises as a single service connection and charge the total consumption of all the consumers at the appropriate tariffs applicable for a single service connection. Any officer authorized by the Company shall issue notices to the concerned consumers asking them to furnish a single application for all such services and to pay required charges for merging the services into a single service.

3.5.4 Wherever the total connected load of all such multiple connections exceeds 75HP, the consumers must necessarily switch over to HT supply or LT III(B) as the case may be and regularize their services duly following the procedure for availing such supply, within 60 days from the Date of Service of such notice,. If the consumer still fails to pay the necessary charges to convert to the specified category, the services will be disconnected after 60 days from the date of service of the notice. Pending such switch over, the licensee shall be entitled to bill the service at HT tariff as per the procedure mentioned under clause 12.3.3.2(i).”

As per the above clause, the Hon'ble APERC issued direction that the consumers who are availing supply under different service connections situated within a single premises by splitting the units, the company may treat such multiple connections existing in the single premises as a single service connection and charge

the total consumption of all the consumers at the appropriate tariffs applicable for a single service connection.

Hence this forum is of the opinion that the respondents correctly clubbed both the services into one and issued HT billing to the SC No.8431205008379 during the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022.

Consumer of M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry represented that both the service owners are different, having separate entrance gates and hence requested to re-inspect the services and to issue the bills separately under LT only. Further both the premises were inspected by the operation and DPE wing jointly on 29-06-2022 and found that both the services have separate entrances, compound walls constructed and pipe between two factories is dismantled, the nature of the premises also different for both the services. Hence the respondents accorded approval to waive of clubbing of two services and also issued bills under LT tariff only after 6/2022.

This forum also noticed that after conducting re-inspection only, the respondents accorded approval to waive the clubbing of both the said services and issued LT tariff bill.

Here in this case the complainant requested the forum to refund the amount already paid by them towards HT billing for SC No.8431205008379 of Rs.2,00,000/- during 10/2022 and Rs.40,000/- during 12/2022 against the arrear amount Rs.4,42,063/- towards SC No.8431205008379 duly withdrawing the HT billing done for the SC No.8431205008379 during the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022.

The Executive Engineer/Operation/Nandyal has issued an approval after conducting joint inspection along with Executive Engineer/ DPE/ Kurnool on 29-06-2022 and found that both the services have separate entrances, compound walls and pipe between two factories is dismantled. The nature of the work is also different in both the premises for both services. EE/O/Nandyal has accorded approval for waive off clubbing of both services i.e. (1) 8431205008379 – M/s Veeranjanya Cotton Ginning & (2) SC No. 8431205056927 – M/s Omkamma Pressing factory and for withdrawal of manual billing HT charges and issued permission to bill the said services under LT billing only because the said services connected load is 74HP only for each service. Hence stated that there is no need to club both the services. But the EE/O/Nandyal has not accorded approval for withdrawal of the HT billing done for

the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022 to SC No. 8431205008379 as the SE/O/Kurnool has not accorded permission to withdraw the HT billing done for the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022 to SC No. 8431205008379.

In this case the respondents submitted written submissions to this forum and furnished the service SC No. 8431205008379 in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning, as per the consumer master in the website also the service is in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya cotton ginning. But the complainant in his complaint submitted their unit name as M/s. Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry and also produced necessary documents. Hence this forum put the case under maintainability

This forum given an opportunity to the complainant to explain about his case before personal hearing. After verifying the website, this forum noticed that, the name of the unit of SC No.8431205008379 is different as per the records i.e. M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning, but the complainant mentioned the unit name as M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry in his grievance and also produced the necessary proofs in the name of M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry. Hence, this forum may dismiss the case as the SC No.8431205008379 is in the name of M/s. Cotton Ginning factory. When the complainant's unit name changed from M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning to M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana cotton Industry the complainant should produce necessary documents and should conclude agreement with the licensee to update the unit name as M/s Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry.

Hence complainant is advised to produce necessary documents and conclude agreement with licensee for name transfer of the unit name immediately.

The complainant sent a mail on 11.4.2023 with enclosures of factory license copies, current bill pertaining to M/s. Omkaramma pressing factory stating that their service SC No.8431205008379 existed in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya slab polish industry, further M/s.Sri Murali & co., Nandyal purchased the factory from M/s.Veeranjaneya slab polish industry. Earlier the complainants Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana & Mr.Maram Srinivasulu were the two partners in the M/s.Sri Murali & Co., the remaining partners were retired, later the existing partners (Complainants herein are the partners to the said unit), the complainants changed the factory name as M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry and obtained license in the name of

M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry during the year 1999. Further stated that the complainants will conclude agreement with licensee towards name transfer of the factory. Hence requested the forum to consider their grievance.

Coming to the subject withdrawal of the HT billing done for the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022 to SC No. 8431205008379, this forum noticed that as per the records submitted by the respondents it concludes that up to re-inspection period the HT billing done for the said service SC No. 8431205008379 is correct, as the physical setup of the factories remains same as that of first time inspection, i.e., the entrance is common to both the factories, pipe connection available in between both the factories, after second time physical inspection only the respondents noticed that the common entrance is permanently closed and the pipe provided between the factories is dismantled. The HT billing done for the period from 11/2021 to 6/2022 cannot be withdrawn. The respondents are also directed to update the meter particulars like meter make, type, MF, IRDA status, particulars of seals etc., correctly in consumer master. There are no merits in the case. The point .No. 1 is answered accordingly.

Point 2:-

The complainants produced necessary proof of documents of M/s Omkamma Pressing Factory and M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry along with their representation. This forum noticed that the Proprietor of M/s Omkamma pressing factory is Smt.M.Lakshmi Bharathi, W/o.Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana(one of the partner to the M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry). Hence this forum is of the opinion that both the factories were monitored by the same person named Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana. Hence the complainants produced the proof of documents pertaining to M/s Omkamma pressing factory along with their representation regarding M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry. In order to get LT service connection only the present complainants, Smt.M.Lakshmi Bharathi, W/o.Mr.Maram Aswartha Narayana(one of the partner to the M/s.Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry) separately running the said factories by dividing the single unit into two. At the time of first inspection compound wall not constructed between two factories and pipe is available between the two factories . Hence the respondents clubbed the two services and issued HT bills to the service SC

No.8431205008379 from 11/2021 to 6/2022, which is correct and the respondents correctly followed the Hon'ble APERC Guidelines.

On the other hand on verification of the consumer ledger, it is observed that the said service is in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning, but in the representation the complainants mentioned that the present complainants Maram Aswartha Narayana & Mr. Maram Srinivasulu were the two partners in M/s. Sri Murali & Co. The remaining partners have retired. Earlier the service was in the name of M/s. Veeranjaneya Slab Polish Industry. Later on 10.10.1984 they sold the company to M/s. Sri Murali & Company, Nandyal in which there were 4 partners. On 27.10.1999 the present complainants also joined as partners. On 21.01.2000 four partners withdrawn from the partnership firm and present complainants have registered their firm name as M/s. Maram Lakshmi Narayana Cotton Industry in the registrar of firms. But as per consumer master in the website, the service is in the name of M/s. Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning. The complainants admitted that they will conclude agreement with licensee immediately. Hence it is clear that at present the said complainants were not the registered consumers to the said service.

This forum is constituted as per Regulation 3 of 2016 issued by Hon'ble APERC. Complainant is defined in Clause 2.4 of Regulation 3 of 2016 which is as follows:

Complainant means and includes the following who have a grievance as defined in the Regulation:

- a) *A consumer as defined under Clause (15) of Section 2 of the Act;*
- b) *An applicant for a new electricity connection;*
- c) *Any registered consumer association;*
- d) *Any unregistered association or group of consumers, where the consumers have common or similar interests; and*
- e) *An occupier of premises to which electricity is or has been supplied by a Licensee;*
- f) *In the case of death of a consumer, his/her legal heir(s) or representative(s).*

Consumer is defined under Section 2 (15) of Electricity Act which is as follows:-

"Consumer" means any person who is supplied with electricity for his own use by a licensee or the Government or by any other person engaged in the business of supplying electricity to the public under this Act or any other law for the time being in force and includes any person whose premises are for the time being connected for the purpose of receiving electricity with the works of a licensee, the Government or such other person, as the case may be;

As seen from the Partnership deed enclosed by the complainants, it is noticed that the complainants and remaining 4 members were running the ginning mill under the name and style of M/s .Sri Murali and Company, Nandyal upto 1999. Later 4 partners expressed their desire to retire from the firm due to their personal inconveniences and retired voluntarily from 6.12.1999, after 6.12.1999 the present complainants were running the ginning mill by changing the name of the firm from M/s.Sri Murali & Company to M/s.Maram Laxminarayana cotton industry, but the partnership deed is un-registered one. The complainants have enclosed factory license in which it is mentioned as M/s. Maram Laxminarayana cotton industry.

It is also noticed that the proprietor Smt.M.Lakshmi Bharathi of M/s Omkaramma pressing factory concluded agreement with the licensee and hence the CC bill issued to M/s Omkaramma pressing factory. It is clear that the complainants known about the name transfer of service as both the factories runned by the complainants only.

As seen from the website the service connection vide SC No.8431205008379 was in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning. The complainants have not concluded agreement with licensee for change of name from M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning to M/s.Maram Laxminarayana Cotton industry. In the representation submitted to this forum on 11.4.2023, they mentioned that they will conclude agreement with licensee towards name transfer of the factory. Hence requested the forum to consider their grievance until conclusion of the title transfer agreement with the licensee.

Complainants in this case are not consumers as defined under Section 2(15) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the service number. 8431205008379. So also complainant in this case does not fall under the definition of complainant as defined in Clause. 2.4 of Regulation 3 of 2016. So complainant is not entitled to file complaint before this forum

for the service number which is in the name of M/s.Veeranjaneya Cotton Ginning. The Point No.2 answered accordingly.

Hence the complaint is liable to be dismissed.


6. In the result the complaint is disposed off.

Sd/-
Member (Technical)

Sd/-
Independent Member

Sd/-
Chairperson (I/c)

Forwarded By Order


Secretary to the Forum

This order is passed on this, the day of 30th May'2023

If aggrieved by this order, the Complainant may represent to the Vidyut Ombudsman, Andhra Pradesh, 3rd Floor, Sri Manjunatha Technical Services, Plot No:38, Adjacent to Kesineni Admin Office, Sri Ramachandra Nagar, Mahanadu Road, Vijayawada-520008, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

To

The Complainant

The Respondents

Copy to the Nodal Officer (Chief General Manager (O&M)/Operation)/CGRF/
APSPDCL/ Tirupati.

Copy Submitted to the Vidyut Ombudsman, Andhra Pradesh , 3rd Floor, Sri Manjunatha Technical Services, Plot No:38, Adjacent to Kesineni Admin Office, Sri Ramachandra Nagar, Mahanadu Road, Vijayawada-520008.

Copy Submitted to the Secretary, APERC,11-4-660, 4th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad- 500 004.